

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/725,209	12/01/2003	Michael D. Grah	D-43583-01	4463
7590 05/05/2006			EXAMINER	
Sealed Air Corporation			MCCLENDON, SANZA L	
P.O. Box 464 Duncan, SC 29334			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			1711	
			DATE MAILED: 05/05/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/725,209	GRAH ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Sanza L. McClendon	1711			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <i>Q6</i> Fe	hruany 2006				
·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	action is non-final.				
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
-,_	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-60</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	Claim(s) <u>45-51</u> is/are allowed.					
)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17,36-38,52-57 and 60</u> is/are rejected.					
	 ✓ Claim(s) 18-35,39-44,58 and 59 is/are objected to. 					
	Claim(s) 10-33,39-44,36 and 39 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
		olosion requirement.				
	on Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment	t(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) 🔲 Notice	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2-06. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/725,209 Page 2

Art Unit: 1711

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. The Declaration under 37 CFR 1.132 filed February 6, 2006 is insufficient to overcome the rejection of claims 1-17, 36-38, 51-57 and 6 based upon USPG Pub 2004/0241482 as set forth in the last Office action because: Applicant has not established if said work applicant is swearing behind (Exhibit I) was performed in the USA, a NAFTA OR WTO country—see MPEP 715.07 (c).

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed February 6, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. See above. However, applicant's arguments regarding the OPD over USPG Pubs 2004/0241482 are convincing and therefore the rejection is withdrawn.

Terminal Disclaimer

3. The terminal disclaimer filed on February 6, 2006 disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application which would extend beyond the expiration date of US Application number 10/749,451 has been reviewed and is accepted. The terminal disclaimer has been recorded.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

5. Claims 1-17, 36-38, 52-57 and 60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Grah et al (2004/0241482)

The applied reference has a common inventor with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a

Application/Control Number: 10/725,209

Art Unit: 1711

showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

6. The text of this rejection can be found in a previous office action.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 7. Claims 18-35, 39-44, 58-59 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 8. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art fails to teach the combination of the independent claims with the limitations of said above listed claims.
- 9. Claims 45-51 and 60 are allowed.
- 10. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: The inclusion of the 0.001 parts by weight of the single-walled nanotubes with the specific polymers as found in claim 45 is the primary reasons for allowance.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

11. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no

Page 3

Art Unit: 1711

event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sanza L. McClendon whose telephone number is (571) 272-1074. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 7:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck can be reached on (571) 272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Examiner

Art Unit 1711

SMc